

Abstract

**A Study on the International Rule of Protection on
Audiovisual Performances and its Development in Korea**

Shin, Chang-Hwan*

The performance is essentially one, thus aural performance and audiovisual performance are not different from the start. However, the two types of protection have been dealt differently given the tight environment of audiovisual industry. The Korean copyright law has employed the special rule on audiovisual works in that the copyright or neighboring rights of each contributors had been transferred to producer of the audiovisual products, and this is more or less common in other countries also.

However, the new era on audiovisual performances has been opened by the Beijing Treaty in 2012. The Paragraph 3 of the Article 100 of Korea Copyright Act provides that “if performers promises cooperation to the production of audiovisual works with its producer, then his rights will be presumed to be transferred to the producers unless there is special agreements provides otherwise.” There is a collective management organization in the area of the audiovisual performances by way of the above-mentioned ‘special agreements’ which is mainly about re-broadcasting. This article argues that the balance between aural performances and audiovisual performances should be secured, and it is better to provide only the remuneration rights to broadcasting of audiovisual performances instead of exclusive rights. There is a need to organize audiovisual performers in the area of film, music and SNS, of which performers have not been duly protected in practice.

* Ph.D. / J.D.

Keywords

Audiovisual Performance, Performers, Beijing Treaty, Audiovisual Works, Producer of Audiovisual Works, Special Rule on Audiovisual Works, World Intellectual Property Organization, Remuneration Right, Residual Rights, Collective Administration

참고문헌

1. 국내문헌

(단행본)

- 박성호, 『저작권법』, 박영사(2014).
서달주, 『한국저작권법』, 박문각(2007).
오승중, 『저작권법』, 박영사(2016).
이해완, 『저작권법』, 박영사(2015).
정상조 편, 『저작권법 주해』, 박영사(2007).
한국저작권위원회, 『저작권통계』, 제7권, 한국저작권위원회(2018).
허희성, 『저작권법축조개설』, 범우사(1998).

(논문, 보고서)

- 김근우, 실연자의 범위와 실연자의 인격권에 관한 고찰, 『경희법학』, 제43권 제2호, 경희대학교 경희법학연구소(2008).
김기복, 디지털 환경에서의 실연자의 법적 보호, 『제7회 저작권 포럼』, 2010. 12. 8., 토론문.
김기복, 시청각 실연자의 권리 보호 방안, 『한국콘텐츠학회논문지』, Vol. 14, No. 12, 한국콘텐츠학회(2014).
김민중, 사자(死者)의 인격권, 『동북아법연구』, 제5권 제1호, 전북대학교 동북아법연구소(2011).
박성호, 저작권법상 방송·전송·디지털음성송신 관련 쟁점의 재검토-키메라의 권리, 디지털 음성송신권의 생성 및 전개에 관한 비판적 고찰을 중심으로, 『정보법학』, 제21권 제1호, 한국정보법학회(2017).
안효질, 영상저작물의 이용활성화와 실연자의 보상청구권, 『법학논총』, 제42권 제3호, 단국대학교 법학연구소(2018).